

Project Ranking Form for Alternative Fueling Infrastructure Projects

Applicant:		
App. #		
Score:	0	out of 100 total points

Level of Project confidence

Points will be awarded based on the applicant's experience, level of expertise, and feasibility of the proposal.

<u>Points</u>	<u>Criteria</u>	<u>Score</u>
20	High level of confidence in project implementation - the project has a high likelihood of success based on experience of applicant, expertise, and project feasibility.	
10	Moderate level of confidence in project implementation - the project is likely to succeed based on experience of applicant, expertise, and project feasibility.	
0	Low level of confidence in project implementation - likelihood of project success is poor or not adequately assured based on experience of applicant, expertise, and project feasibility.	
20	Total Possible Sub-Category Points	

Station Location

Points will be awarded to projects that are strategically located

<u>Points</u>	<u>Criteria</u>	<u>Score</u>
20	Project location strategically located to compliment existing alternative fueling infrastructure and demand and is not redundant to existing nearby stations	
10	There is a marginal level of overlap with existing stations, however, the project still fulfills an unmet need in the County.	
0	Project is redundant with existing nearby stations and provides little additional benefit	
20	Total Possible Sub-category Points	

Estimated level of use

<u>Points</u>	<u>Criteria</u>	<u>Score</u>
15	days a week	
10	Project is expected to be used by at least 3 users a day, 2 to 5 days a week	
5	Project is expected to be used by 1- 3 users a day, any day of the week	
0	Project does not anticipate use within the lifetime of the project	
15	Total Possible Sub-category points	

Power Source not derived from Fossil Fuels

<u>Points</u>	<u>Criteria</u>	<u>Score</u>
10	Project powered by renewable natural gas, hydrogen, onsite solar or wind at least 50% of the time	
7	Project powered by renewable natural gas, hydrogen, onsite solar or wind least 25 - 49% of the time	
3	Project powered by renewable natural gas, hydrogen, onsite wind or solar 0% - 25% of the time	
10	Total Possible Sub-category points	

Established Demand

<u>Points</u>	<u>Criteria</u>	<u>Score</u>
10	Strong documented evidence suggests that there is a demand for the project and proposed station size is proportional to the established	
5	Demand cannot be documented but can be supported by anecdotal evidence	
3	There is no documented or reasonable anecdotal demand for the proposed project	
10	Total Possible Sub-category points	

Community Benefit

<u>Points</u>	<u>Criteria</u>	<u>Score</u>
10	There is a strong community benefit.	
5	Community benefit is moderate	
0	No significant community benefit	
10	Total Possible Sub-category Points	

Dedicated Co-funding

Co-funding includes in-kind contributions, equipment, labor or direct funding.

<u>Points</u>	<u>Criteria</u>	<u>Score</u>
15	50% or more of the total project cost from other funds.	
5	25-50% of the total project cost from other funds.	
0	Less than 25% of total project cost from other funds.	
15	Total Sub-category Points	